

KERLEY, WALSH, MATERA & CINQUEM

BRIAN P. KERLEY
 JEFFREY G. WALSH
 ROSEMARY CINQUEMANI
 GLENN J. MATERA
 JEFFREY M. DI LUCCIO
 PATRICK J. SHELLEY
 STEPHEN E. RACH II
 ROBERT K. LAPPING
 TIMOTHY M. SHELLEY
 KERRI E. LEVY
 MICHAEL JANES
 HEATHER L. AQUINO**
 BRETT J. MILGRIM
 ARGIRO DRAKOS
 STEPHANIE A. JOHNSTON
 CARL J. SCHAEFF**
 CAROL N. KOTSIKIS**
 GARY N. SMITH***
 STEVEN J. KIM*

2174 JACKSON AVENUE
 SEAFORD, NEW YORK 11783
 (516) 409-6200
 FAX (516) 409-8288

200 SHEFFIELD STREET, SUITE 208
 MOUNTAINSIDE, NEW JERSEY 07092
 555 FIFTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR
 NEW YORK, NY 10017

*REMIT ALL RESPONSES TO THE
 SEAFORD OFFICE

USDC SDNY
 DOCUMENT
 ELECTRONICALLY FILED
 DOC #: _____
 DATE FILED: 2/17/2026

JOY WODA SCHNEIDER
 **JOHN P. IANNONE, JR.
 **JONATHAN R. JANOFSKY
 MICHAEL A. GRAZIO
 ROBERT O. PRITCHARD
 VANESSA M. COSTANTINO
 ZACHARY S. GOLDMAN
 *MORGAN J. WILLIAMS

OF COUNSEL
 KATHLEEN LINDSAY, R.N.
 *THOMAS J. DECKER
 KRISTEN SPOEREL

*Admitted in NJ

**Admitted in NY and NJ

***Admitted in NY, NJ and PA

February 13, 2026

Via ECF

The Honorable Nelson S. Roman
 Judge of United States District Court
 For the Southern District of New York
 300 Quarropas Street
 White Plains, NY 10601

The Court is in receipt of Plaintiff's application to file an Amended Complaint (ECF No. 37) and Defendant's opposition herein (ECF No. 39). Plaintiff's request to file an Amended Complaint is DENIED as untimely because the Court has already set a briefing schedule for which more than 21 days have passed since Defendant served their motion to dismiss.

SO ORDERED:

Dated: February 17, 2026
 White Plains, New York


 HON. NELSON S. ROMAN
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Re: STELLA CRISTINA GOMES DE SOUZA v. WATCHTOWER BIBLE AND TRACT SOCIETY OF PENNSYLVANIA, INC.

Civil Action No. 7:25-cv-09458-NSR

Our file: 27208

Dear Judge Roman:

Our firm represents the individual members of the Governing Body of Jehovah's Witnesses ("Governing Body") in the captioned matter. We are in receipt of plaintiff's letter indicating that he intends to amend his complaint as of right.

Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a) as it currently reads provides:

(1) *Amending as a Matter of Course.* A party may amend its pleading once as a matter of course no later than:

MEMO ENDORSED

(A) 21 days after serving it, or

(B) if the pleading is one to which a responsive pleading is required, 21 days after service of a responsive pleading or 21 days after service of a motion under Rule 12(b), (e), or (f), whichever is earlier.

Plaintiff's does not contend that this amendment is made within 21 days of service (and we contest service to boot). The motion was served on January 21, 2026, more than 21 days ago. Therefore, under Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a)(1)(B) there is no amendment as of right.

We do not have the proposed amendment, and our consent to amendment has not been sought. Obviously we cannot consent in a vacuum. We note that we encouraged plaintiff's counsel to make any such applications weeks ago, and he declined to do so.

We would oppose the notion that an amendment would be as of right, and we believe our position is well supported by the Fed.R.Civ.P.

We thank the Court for its kind attention to this submission.

KERLEY, WALSH, MATERA & CINQUEMANI, P.C.

Carl Schaerf

By: Carl J. Schaerf, Esq. (CS 5031)

*Attorneys for The Governing Body of Jehovah's
Witnesses*

CSchaerf@kerleywalsh.com

cc: All appearing counsel by ECF