Robert L. Stepans
Ryan R. Shaffer
James C. Murnion
Victoria K.M. Gannon
Meyer, Shaffer & Stepans, PLLP
430 Ryman Street
Missoula, MT 59802
Tel: (406) 543-6929
Fax: (406) 721-1799
rob@mss-lawfirm.com
ryan@mss-lawfirm.com
james@mss-lawfirm.com
katy@mss-lawfirm.com

Matthew L. Merrill (appearing *pro hac vice*) Merrill Law, LLC 1401 Delgany St. #404 Denver, CO 80202 Tel: (303) 947-4453 matthew@merrillwaterlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA BILLINGS DIVISION

| TRACY CAEKAERT, and CAMILLIA ) MAPLEY, )  | Case No. CV-20-52-BLG-SPW  |
|---|--|
| Plaintiffs, ) vs. )   | PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED<br>MOTION FOR EXTENSION<br>OF TIME TO FILE |
| WATCHTOWER BIBLE AND TRACT SOCIETY OF NEW YORK, INC., and WATCH TOWER BIBLE AND TRACT SOCIETY OF PENNSYLVANIA., | OBJECTIONS TO<br>DEFENDANTS' EXPERT<br>WITNESS REPORTS           |
| Defendants, )   |  |

Plaintiffs' by and through undersigned counsel, respectfully move the Court for an extension of time to file their objections to the timeliness or sufficiency of

Defendants' Rule 26(a)(2)(B) disclosures. The basis for the requested extension is

as follows:

1. Plaintiffs agreed to extend the Defendants' deadline for disclosing "Rebuttal

Experts" to February 29, 2024.

2. Defendants' expert witness, Dr. Michael Bütz, has proposed an examination

schedule that would not complete all of Plaintiffs' Rule 35 exams until June

15, 2024.

3. Plaintiffs have agreed to Dr. Bütz's proposed examination schedule pending

agreement (and approval by the Court) of commensurate deadlines for Rule

26 and Rule 35 disclosures, deposition dates, and motions related to his trial

testimony.

4. Thus, as of this filing, Plaintiffs have not received reports from any defense

experts in this case.

Given the foregoing, Plaintiffs were unable to file objections to Defendants'

expert disclosures by the current February 27, 2024, deadline. See ECF No. 286.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs seek a continuance of that deadline to be commensurate

with the extensions that Plaintiffs have given Defendants for their expert witness

disclosures:

Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Object to Timeliness or Sufficiency of Expert Witness Disclosures Case 1:20-cv-00052-SPW Document 322 Filed 02/28/24 Page 3 of 4

1. For Defendants' "Rebuttal Experts" Plaintiffs shall file their objections to

the timeliness or sufficiency of the Rule 26(a)(2)(B) reports within fourteen

(14) days of February 29, 2024.

2. For Defendants' expert, Dr. Michael Bütz, Plaintiffs shall file their

objections to the timeliness or sufficiency of his Rule 26(a)(2)(B) reports

within fourteen (14) days of receipt of such reports, the dates of such

disclosures to be established by subsequent order of this Court.

Defendants do not oppose this Motion and a proposed Order is attached hereto and

will be emailed to the Court pursuant to L.R. 7.1.

DATED this 28<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2024.

By: /s/ Ryan Shaffer

Ryan R. Shaffer

MEYER, SHAFFER & STEPANS PLLP

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to Local Rule 1.4, this document has been served on all parties via electronic service through the Court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF) system.

By: <u>/s/ Ryan Shaffer</u>
Ryan R. Shaffer
MEYER, SHAFFER & STEPANS PLLP

Attorneys for Plaintiffs